



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,345	•	09/26/2001 Ronald Quan		M-2096-4C US	9110	
24251	7590	09/29/2004		EXAM	INER	
	EN MORE	RILL LLP	LANIER, BENJAMIN E			
	25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOS	SAN JOSE, CA 95110			2132		
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 2004

Technology Center 2100

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/965,345	QUAN, RONALD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Seconds</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Executive Condition for alloware Executive E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 105-116 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 105-116 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1-104 and addition of claims 105-116 has been fully considered and is entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Regarding claims 107-110, 113-116, the phrase "range of about" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 105-116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buynak, U.S. Patent No. 5,394,470. Referring to claims 105, 106, 111, 112, Buynak discloses a method of augmenting a video signal wherein augmenting pulses are added to the horizontal sync pulse intervals of a video signal to prevent a copy of the modified video signal (Abstract), which meets the limitation of adding a pulse to a selected position in a back porch region following a horizontal sync pulse of the copy protected video signal. The augmenting pulses can have a

Art Unit: 2132

negative amplitude, which meets the limitation of adding a pulse having a selected negative amplitude.

Referring to claims 107-110, 113-116, Buynak discloses that the augmenting pulses have a width of at least .5 ms (Col. 10, lines 40-42), which meets the limitation of negative pulses having a width in the range of 1 to 2 ms, and the augmenting pulses have a approximate amplitude of -40 IRE units (Col. 10, lines 43-45), which meets the limitation of the negative pulses in the range of about -10 to -20 IRE units.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 7. Claims 1-12 of patent #6,501,842 contain every element of claims 105-116 of the instant application and as such anticipate claims 105-116 of the instant application.
- 8. "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or **anticipated by**, the earlier claim. <u>In re Longi</u>, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); <u>In re Berg</u>, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46

Application/Control Number: 09/965,345

Art Unit: 2132

USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E Lanier whose telephone number is 703-305-7684. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th0 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703)305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin E. Lanier

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2190

Page 4

Sheet 1 of 1

						·	Sile	et l of 1
U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office					Atty Dock	et No.	Serial N	
					M-2096-4	C US	Unknew	P T 0
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT					Applicant(s)		34
(Use several sheets if necessary)						an		296
					Filing Date	2	Group)36]9/
					Herewith		Unknow	1,1
			U.S. 1	Patent Documents			2132	
Examiner		Document	5			0 : :	Filing	
Initial	AA	Number 4,475,129	Date 10/1984	Name Kagota	Class 380	Subclass 204	If Appro	opriate
10	AB	4,631,603	12/23/86	Ryan	358	335X		
8/	AC	4,695,901	09/22/87	<u> </u>	380	204		
	AD	4,819,098		Ryan	_		 	
BC-			04/04/89	Ryan	360	37.1	ļ	
-	AE	4,928,309	05/22/90	White	380	15		
Fo	AF	4,951,315	08/21/90	Switsen	380	15		
16	AG	5,130,810	07/14/92	Ryan	358	310		
-63-	AH	5,133,008	07/21/92	Fujita	380	5		
B	ΑI	5,157,510	10/20/92	Quan et al.	380	15		
B	AJ	5,194,965	03/16/93	Quan et al.	380	5X		
B	AK	5,633,927	05/27/97	Ryan et al.	380	5		
			Foreign	Patent Documents				
							Transl	ation
		Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No
B	AL	88 12 594.7	10/04/88	DE				
B	AM	189 548	11/28/85	EP				
82	AN	WO 91/16791	10/31/91	PCT				
	AO							
	-	OTHER A	RT (Including A	author, Title, Date, Pertine	nt Pages, Et	c.)	<u> </u>	•
B	AP	"MACROVISION	DECODER/BL	ANKER", Elektor Electro	onics, 14 Oc	tober 1988, pp	44-47	
B	AQ	"Report of Special Master" U.S. District Court, case No. C-99-20011 EAI, Northern District of California, 15 pgs.						
45	AR	"Order Adopting and Approving Report of Special Master" <u>U.S. District Court, case No. C-99-20011</u> <u>EAI, Northern District of California</u> , 13 pgs.						
	AS	4			_		100 a. a. 10 see an	
Examiner X	ک ٤.		Date Considere	ed 9/22/04				
	/		1 1 1	ot citation is in conforman				

Notice of References Cited

i

Application/Control No. 09/965,345	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination QUAN, RONALD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Benjamin E Lanier	2132	Page 1 of 1	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,394,470	02-1995	Buynak et al.	380/204
	В	US-6,501,842	12-2002	Quan, Ronald	380/204
	С	US-	-		
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-	-		
	1	US-			
	7	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name ·	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	a					
	R					
	s					
	т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.